Interview Summary

Application No. 10/080,975

Michele Flood

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Wai et al.

1654



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) Michele Flood	(3)
(2) <u>Kevin Hayes</u>	(4)
Date of Interview	<u> </u>
Type: a) X Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🔊 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: All in detail. Identification of prior art discussed:	
Discussed the details of the species requirement set forth	d. g) was not reached. h) N/A. In nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or in Paper No. 9 to clarify that Applicant is required to elect a ix, and one polyphenol for prosecution on the merits.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	idments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) $oxed{oxed}$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required